

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,111	07/13/2006	Dale Howard Hreczuk-Hirst	2667110	6287
2101 7550 06/25/2008 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			EXAMINER	
			HEARD, THOMAS SWEENEY	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary | 10/568,111 | HI

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/568,111	HRECZUK-HIRST ET AL.		
xaminer	Art Unit		
HOMAS S. HEARD	1654		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a ropy be timely filed and the provision of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a ropy be timely filed and the second of the communication of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a ropy be timely filed and the second of t
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some * o) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1654

### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action vacates and replaces the previous office action mailed 5/29/2008.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6 drawn to a compound comprising a polysaccharide having a pendant moiety linked to at least one terminal unit derived from a sialic acid unit which includes a functional group selected from N-maleimide groups, vinylsulphone groups, Niodoacetamide groups and orthopyridyl disulphide groups.

Group II, claim(s) 7-10 drawn to a compound of formula:

Group III, claim(s) 11-22 drawn to a process in which a polysaccharide comprising at least one terminal unit which is derived from a sialic acid unit is reacted with a heterobifunctional reagent having a first functional group selected from N- maleimido groups, vinylsulphone groups, N-iodoacetamide groups and orthopyridyl disulphide groups and a second functional group different from the first group whereby the said second functional group reacts with a terminal sialic acid derivative unit to form a covalent bond therewith and form a functional polysaccharide suitable for selective conjugation to a thiol group

Art Unit: 1654

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They are not linked by a special technical feature.

The expression "special technical feature" refers to those features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature. Because the compounds of Group I are known in the art by reference US Patent 5,329,028, it cannot be considered a special technical feature.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claim 1: N-maleimide groups, vinylsulphone groups, N-iodoacetamide groups orthopyridyl disulphide groups.

Claim 3: The R groups defining the compound of Formula

If Group I is elected, the Applicants are to elect a single composition from Claims

1-3, for initial search purposes

If Group II is elected, the Applicants are to elect a single composition from Claims

7-10 from the formula of Claim 3, for initial search purposes.

Art Unit: 1654

If Group III is elected, Applicants must elect a single compisition and all the variable in the process to make the single compound (not generic) for initial search purposes.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. That is, Applicant is required to elect a single embodiment wherein all variable are particularly defined. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: nearly all claims are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not artrecognized equivalents.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1654

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS S. HEARD whose telephone number is (571)272-2064. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas S Heard/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654

/Cecilia Tsang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654